

Appendix D

PROGRAM REVITALIZATION/ SUSPENSION ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

This Appendix D is to be prepared by faculty and/or the Dean/Director of a program that has been revitalized or suspended, and delivered to the Program Evaluation Committee (PEC). The PEC will evaluate this along with an updated Appendix D to determine whether the program has made observable progress towards achieving the outcomes of the Program Revitalization plan. The PEC will denote their assessment and any further recommendations on Appendix D, return Appendix D to the Dean/Director of the program and forward it to the CIO. The PEC may recommend, with written justification, program suspension or discontinuance if they decide sufficient progress has not been made.

Name & Location of Program _____

AP 4021 Recommendation Revitalization / Suspension (circle one) Date _____

Submitted by (provide all authors):

Date of Submission: _____

1) Describe the progress that has been made implementing the approved interventions recommended by the Program Evaluation Committee as determined by the President/Superintendent. For each, state whether the implementation is following the recommended timeline, and if it is complete.

2) To what extent have the expected outcomes following program revitalization been realized? Describe how well changes have improved or failed to improve the program.

3) Have the impacts of changes made to the program had sufficient time to be observed? Do you think more time is needed to implement recommended changes or more time is needed to observe the impact of the changes? Please describe.

 Program has been revitalized (this releases the program from the 4021 process)

Program is making satisfactory progress

Program is on warning of suspension/ discontinuance

Program recommended for discontinuance

Signature, Chair PEC _____ Date _____